

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

Xcentric Ventures, LLC,

NO. C 09-80309 MISC JW  
NO. C 10-80076 MISC HRL

Plaintiff,

v.


**ORDER DENYING RELATION OF CASES**

Elizabeth Arden, d/b/a  
Complaintsboard.com, et al.,

Defendants.

Presently before the Court is an Order from Magistrate Judge Lloyd to determine whether Xcentric Ventures, LLC v. Arden, No. C 10-80076 MISC JF (“Second Case”), is related to Xcentric Ventures, LLC v. Arden, Case No. C 09-80309 MISC JW (“First Case”). (See Second Case, Docket Item No. 4.) Both cases involve motions to quash a subpoena relating to an Arizona District Court case. (See First Case, Docket Item No. 1; Second Case, Docket Item No. 1.) On April 16, 2010, the parties filed a Stipulation that withdraws the motion to quash in each case.<sup>1</sup> Since the parties’ Stipulation effectively renders the miscellaneous actions moot, the Court finds the relation of the cases to be unnecessary.

Dated: May 3, 2010

  
JAMES WARE  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> (Stipulation RE: Form of Protective Order at 2, hereafter, “Stipulation,” Second Case, Docket Item No. 5; First Case, Docket Item No. 15.)

1 **THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:**

2 David Scott Gingras David.Gingras@webmail.azbar.org  
3 Erik Swen Syverson eriksyverson@gmail.com

4 **Dated: May 3, 2010**

**Richard W. Wieking, Clerk**

5  
6 **By: /s/ JW Chambers**

**Elizabeth Garcia**  
**Courtroom Deputy**

**United States District Court**

For the Northern District of California

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28